

### 3 Sobolev Spaces

**Exercise 3.1.** We will prove it by induction. The case  $k = 1$  is trivial, indeed  $f(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_2)f_2(x_1)$  and

$$\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(x_2)| |f_2(x_1)| dx_1 dx_2 = \|f_1\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \|f_2\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Let now  $k \geq 2$  and assume the estimate is true for  $k$ . We have to prove that the estimate still holds for  $k + 1$ . Let us treat the case  $k = 2$  to see how the proof of the induction hypothesis should go. We have

$$f(x) = f_1(x_2, x_3)f_2(x_1, x_3)f_3(x_1, x_2).$$

Thanks to Fubini's theorem and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (used each twice), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(x)| dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_1(x_2, x_3)| |f_2(x_1, x_3)| |f_3(x_1, x_2)| dx_1 dx_2 \right) dx_3 \\ &\leq \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f_1(x_2, x_3)|^2 |f_2(x_1, x_3)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_3 \\ &= \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_1(x_2, x_3)|^2 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_2(x_1, x_3)|^2 dx_1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx_3 \\ &\leq \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f_1(\cdot, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f_2(\cdot, x_3)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \|f_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \|f_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_3\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \end{aligned}$$

For every fixed  $x_{k+2} \in \mathbb{R}$ , by Hölder inequality with  $p = k + 1, p' = \frac{k+1}{k}$  we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} |f(x)| dx_1 \dots dx_{k+1} \leq \|f_{k+2}\|_{L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{k+1})} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} |f_i|^{\frac{k+1}{k}} dx_1 \dots dx_{k+1} \right)^{\frac{k}{k+1}}. \quad (1)$$

By applying the inductive hypothesis on the functions  $|f_i|^{\frac{k+1}{k}}$  we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} |f_i|^{\frac{k+1}{k}} dx_1 \dots dx_{k+1} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \|f_i\|_{L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^k)},$$

which together with (1), gives

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+1}} |f(x)| dx_1 \dots dx_{k+1} \leq \|f_{k+2}\|_{L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{k+1})} \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \|f_i\|_{L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^k)}. \quad (2)$$

Since by hypothesis  $f_i \in L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{k+1})$ , all the functions  $x_{k+2} \mapsto \|f_i\|_{L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^k)}$  belong to  $L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus by applying again Hölder inequality (with  $k+1$  terms) we get that  $\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \|f_i\|_{L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^k)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and so, by integrating (2) in  $dx_{k+2}$  we conclude

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{k+2}} |f(x)| dx_1 \dots dx_{k+2} \leq \prod_{i=1}^{k+2} \|f_i\|_{L^{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^{k+1})}.$$

**Exercise 3.2.** — By applying Hölder inequality with exponents  $\frac{p}{\alpha r}$  and  $\frac{q}{(1-\alpha)r}$  we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |f|^r = \int_{\Omega} |f|^{\alpha r} |f|^{(1-\alpha)r} \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |f|^p \right)^{\frac{\alpha r}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |f|^q \right)^{\frac{(1-\alpha)r}{q}},$$

from which we deduce the desired inequality.

— For every  $x, y \in \Omega$  we have

$$\frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\gamma} = \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^t}{|x - y|^{t\alpha}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{1-t}}{|x - y|^{(1-t)\beta}} \leq [u]_{C^{0,\alpha}(\Omega)}^t [u]_{C^{0,\beta}(\Omega)}^{1-t},$$

and by taking the supremum all over  $x, y \in \Omega$  we get the thesis.

**Exercise 3.3.** Since  $u(x) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $|x| \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce  $u \notin L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Moreover, for every  $q < \infty$  we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q dx = C \int_0^{\frac{1}{e}} |\log(-\log r)|^q r^{d-1} dr.$$

By the change of variables  $-\log r = s$  we get that this last integral is equal to

$$\int_1^{\infty} (\log s)^q e^{-ds} ds < \infty.$$

Moreover, its distributional gradient is given by

$$\nabla u(x) = \frac{x}{|x|^2 \log |x|}, \tag{3}$$

and we get, by the same change of coordinates as before,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^d dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x|^d |\log |x||^d} dx = \beta(d) \int_0^{\frac{1}{e}} \frac{1}{r |\log r|^d} dr = \beta(d) \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^d} ds < \infty.$$

Thus  $u \in W^{1,d}(\Omega) \setminus L^\infty(\Omega)$ .

**Remark.** clearly (3) holds in the sense of distributions on the domain  $\Omega \setminus \{0\}$ . In order to prove that the singularity at zero does not cause any problem, we proceed as follows : let  $\chi \in C^\infty([0, +\infty), [0, 1])$  be decreasing with  $\chi(s) = 1$  for every  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $\chi(s) = 0$  for every  $s \geq 2$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $\chi_\varepsilon(s) = \chi(s/\varepsilon)$ . Then for every  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u \nabla \varphi dx &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi_\varepsilon(|x|)) u \nabla \varphi dx \\ &= - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} (1 - \chi_\varepsilon(|x|)) \varphi \nabla u dx + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \chi'_\varepsilon(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|} u \varphi dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The first limit in the right hand side is equal to  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi \nabla u dx$  by dominated convergence theorem, since  $\nabla u$  given in (3) belongs to  $L^1(\Omega)$ . The second limit is equal to 0, since

$$\left| \chi'_\varepsilon(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|} u \varphi \right| \leq \log \left( \log \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \right) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty} \mathbf{1}_{[\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon]}(|x|)$$

and therefore its integral (for  $n \geq 2$ ) converges to 0 as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**Exercise 3.4.** Just take in dimension  $d = 1$  the open interval  $\Omega = (1, \infty)$  and  $u(x) = x^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ . Since  $p > q$ , we have  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , but

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q dx = \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} dx = \infty.$$

**Exercise 3.5.** If  $p > n$ , then  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Thus  $fg \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  since

$$\|fg\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Moreover, since  $\partial_i(fg) = \partial_i fg + f \partial_i g$ , by an analogous reasoning, we get  $\partial_i(fg) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which shows that  $fg \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .